

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/603,962	06/25/2003	Manuel Munoz Saiz	43068-0028	3720	
20822	7590 05/18/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
RUDEN, M	CCLOSKY, SMITH, SC	BAREFOOT, GALEN L			
P.O. BOX 19 FORT LAUE	000 DERDALE, FL 33301	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3644		
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/603	,962	SAIZ, MANUEL MUNOZ				
	Office Action Summary	Examir	ner	Art Unit				
			L Barefoot ,	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE N - Extensions after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. o) days, a reply within the s utory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tirestatutory minimum of thirty (30) day if will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered time the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>03 <i>March 200</i></u>	<u>95</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	b)⊠ This action is	non-final.	-				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (
Application	on Papers							
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to		= ' '	=	• •			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim to a claim	documents have be documents have be of the priority docur nal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicati ments have been receive tule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
Attachment(s)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)			

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The original written description makes no reference to a fuselage with two wings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-3,6-8,13-17,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Saiz (6082668).

It is noted that "faired, profiled, and streamlined" all have the equivalent scope with claim language and applicant has not clearly set forth any different scope. It is also Art Unit: 3644

noted that the aerodynamic profile of Saiz will generate and not generate lift depending on its angle of attack.

Page 3

- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saiz (6082668) in view of Loedding (2503585). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the leading edge of Saiz (6082668) with a lip as taught by 70 in figure 5 of Loedding (2503585) since it will improve the flow characteristics.
- 3. Claims 9, 11, I 2,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saiz (6082668). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the skin of Saiz (6082668) out of flexible fabric as this is a well known old skin material that is light and easy to work with and also to provide a trailing edge flap and two wings on a fuselage are well known lift enhancer.
- 4. Claims 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saiz (6082668) in view of Saiz (6378803). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the profile of Saiz (6082668) as taught by figures 9 and 12 of Saiz (6378803) since it is a mere change of shape.

Applicants remarks have been considered but are deemed to be more specific than what is claimed. The claim language must clearly define over the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,962

Art Unit: 3644

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 4

examiner should be directed to Galen L Barefoot whose telephone number is 571-272-

6898.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to 800-786-9199.

May 16, 2005

Galen Barefoot

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3644